

WILLIAM JEFFERSON COLE, C.P.A.
BARRY S. SHIPP, C.P.A.
STEVEN W. HEDGEPEETH, C.P.A.
STEVEN R. BAYER, C.P.A.
TIMOTHY R. DURR, C.P.A.
BAILEY B. BAYNHAM, C.P.A.
ROBERT A. BUSBY, C.P.A.
ANNE-MARIE COLE, C.P.A.
TIMOTHY W. BORST, C.P.A.
ERIC D. SMITH, C.P.A.
KYLE S. DOBBINS, C.P.A.
MATTHEW R. HAHN, C.P.A.
FAYE D. BARFIELD, C.P.A.
KELLY B. NELSON, C.P.A.

J. AMY HEMMINGS, C.P.A.
LINDA K. BIBLE, C.P.A.
JANA JOHNSTON COX, C.P.A.
GEORGE D. FAUBER III, C.P.A.
R. SCOTT MOORE, C.P.A.
ADAM JEFFERSON CAIN, C.P.A.
MADISON PAIGE LAIRD, C.P.A.
BONNIE C. PESNELL, C.P.A.
ANDY L. BUI, C.P.A.
JENNIFER RENEE TURNER, C.P.A.
JONATHAN B. WEST, C.P.A.
MANDI ROSE KILLIAN, C.P.A.

COLE, EVANS & PETERSON

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

FIFTH FLOOR TRAVIS PLACE
624 TRAVIS STREET
SHREVEPORT, LOUISIANA 71101-3013

www.cepcpa.com

PARTNER EMERITUS
M. ALTON EVANS, JR.

OF COUNSEL
CAROL T. BARNES, C.P.A.
AUSTIN G. ROBERTSON, JR., C.P.A.

TELEPHONE (318) 222-8367
TELECOPIER (318) 425-4101

MAILING ADDRESS:
POST OFFICE DRAWER 1768
SHREVEPORT, LOUISIANA 71166-1768

FEBRUARY 2020

WHAT'S DIFFERENT ABOUT 2019 INDIVIDUAL INCOME TAX RETURNS?

The very short but accurate answer is "not much." As most taxpayers will recall, the changes of the *Tax Cuts and Jobs Act* of 2017 were generally effective for 2018 tax returns, are applicable to 2019 returns, and are scheduled to reverse on January 1, 2026. During 2019, Congress was almost totally inactive in the area of income tax law. The only significant

Congressional act affecting individual taxation occurred in mid-December. It changed laws applicable to retirement plans and IRAs (discussed in last month's newsletter), which are effective for years beginning after December 31, 2019. Accordingly, 2019 individual tax returns will be prepared under tax law almost identical to the 2018 rules.

"ONE-RING" ONE MORE SCAM

Life continues to remind us of the need for "scam awareness" when using e-mail, the internet, and our telephones.

Whether by cellphone or a landline, you have probably experienced the frequent frustration of unwanted solicitation calls, "robocalls," etc., some of which rang only once. An announcement of the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) explains that the goal of the "One-Ring Phone Scam" is not for you to answer but is to have you call back to their number.

A one-ring call might appear to be from a USA telephone number, including three initial digits that resemble U.S. area codes. But scammers often use international numbers from regions that also begin with three-digit codes (e.g., "232" for Sierra Leone and "809" for Dominican Republic). Scammers also use "spoofing" techniques to further mask the number in your caller ID display.

If you call back, you risk being connected to a phone number outside the U.S. As a result, you could be charged a fee just for connecting, along with significant per-minute fees for as long as they can keep you on the phone. These charges will show up on your bill as premium services, international calling, or toll-calling. Variations of this scam rely on phony voice-mail messages urging you to call a number with an unfamiliar area code to "schedule a delivery" or to notify you about a "sick" relative. The FCC has made some suggestions.

- Do not answer or return any calls from numbers you do not recognize. If it is a valid call, they will likely leave a voicemail that you can recognize as legitimate. If you decide to return a call from an unfamiliar number, you might check to see if the area code is international.
- If you do answer a call, do not follow instructions on a prerecorded message, such

(Continued on reverse)

as “Press 1” to speak to a live operator. Just hang up.

- Always be cautious when answering your phone, even if a number appears authentic. Never give personal or financial data, such as

your Social Security number or credit card number, to callers you do not know.

- If you do not make international calls, you might ask your telephone company to block outgoing international calls on your line.

NO CLAWBACK GIFT AND ESTATE TAX CLARIFIED

Prior to 2018, the basic exclusion amount from federal death and gift taxes was \$5 million. The Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of 2017 increased that amount to \$10 million indexed for inflation for deaths occurring before 2026. The exclusion amount for 2020 is \$11,580,000. This basic exclusion amount is for each individual for the total of gift taxes and death tax.

A question had arisen concerning the use of a portion of the basic exclusion amount by gifts during lifetime and then at death after 2025 (when the basic exclusion is scheduled to revert to \$5 million plus inflation) what basic exclusion amount would be applicable. For example, assume a single taxpayer with cumulative lifetime gifts of \$12 million, all of which are made during the period January 1, 2018 through December 31, 2025. Further, assume that the taxpayer dies in February 2026 with taxable assets remaining after the gifts of \$3 million. The taxpayers’ cumulative gifts plus remaining taxable assets equals \$15 million. Is this \$15 million reduced by the \$11,580,000 of basic exclusion amount available at the time of the gifts, or is it reduced only by the \$5

million basic exclusion amount scheduled to be available in 2026?

The Internal Revenue Service has released final regulations making it clear that for deaths after 2025, when the exclusion amount drops back down to \$5,000,000, the larger exclusion (currently \$11,580,000) will continue to apply to those gifts made during the years 2018 through 2025. Thus, this taxpayer’s taxable estate of \$15,000,000 before the exclusion (\$3,000,000 held at death plus \$12,000,000 lifetime taxable gifts) will be reduced to \$3,420,000 by the full \$11,580,000 exclusion that was applicable to the gifts, not reduced by the lower \$5,000,000 exclusion amount applicable at the time of death.

In summary, older taxpayers who expect to have taxable gifts and estates totaling more than \$11,580,000 (\$23,160,000 for a community property couple) and with life expectancies reaching beyond 2025 might want to consider making lifetime gifts during the period of the higher basic exclusion amount.

\$10,000 DEDUCTION LIMIT ON STATE AND LOCAL TAXES IS CONSTITUTIONAL

The United States District Court for the Southern District of New York ruled last year that the states of Connecticut, Maryland, New Jersey, and New York (all high state and local income tax states) could sue the United States Treasury and Internal Revenue Service (IRS) to challenge the constitutionality of the \$10,000 limit on state and local tax (SALT) deductions. The Court has now, after a trial on the merits of the claim, ruled that the SALT limit is constitutional (New York, et al. v. Mnuchin, et al).

The IRS had previously rejected efforts by high income tax states to convert local taxes into charitable contributions and clearly established its position that “work around” deductions of state income taxes will not be allowed and is vigorously defending the limit. Absent a successful appeal of New York, et al. v. Mnuchin, et al (which seems, at least to us, unlikely), the limit is constitutional and will be enforced.

Cole, Evans & Peterson, CPAs

www.cepcpa.com

624 Travis Street

Shreveport, Louisiana 71101

(318) 222-8367

Tax & Business Alert

FEBRUARY 2020

THE TCJA EFFECT: QUALIFIED RESIDENCE INTEREST

The Tax Cuts and Jobs Act (TCJA) made a significant impact — both directly and indirectly — on the deductibility of various types of interest expense for individuals. One area affected is qualified residence interest.

TWO WAYS ABOUT IT

The TCJA affects interest on residential loans in two ways. First, by nearly doubling the standard deduction and placing a \$10,000 cap on deductions of state and local taxes, the act substantially reduces the number of taxpayers who itemize. This means that fewer taxpayers will benefit from mortgage and home equity interest deductions. Second, from 2018 through 2025, the act places new limits on the amount of qualified residence interest you can deduct.

Previously, taxpayers could deduct interest on up to \$1 million in acquisition indebtedness (\$500,000 for married taxpayers filing separately) and up to \$100,000 in home equity indebtedness (\$50,000 for married taxpayers filing separately).

Acquisition indebtedness is debt that's incurred to acquire, build or substantially improve a qualified residence, and is secured by that residence. Home equity indebtedness is debt that's incurred for any other purpose (such as buying a boat or paying off credit cards) and is secured by a qualified residence. A single mortgage could be treated as both acquisition and home equity indebtedness, allowing taxpayers to deduct interest on debt up to \$1.1 million.

The TCJA reduced the deduction limit for acquisition indebtedness to interest on up to \$750,000 in debt and eliminated the deduction for home equity indebtedness altogether, through 2025. The new limit on acquisition indebtedness doesn't apply to debt incurred on or before December 15, 2017, subject to an exception for mortgages that were incurred on or before April 1, 2018, in certain circumstances. Specifically, it involves debt incurred pursuant to a written binding contract to purchase a qualified residence executed before December 15, 2017, and scheduled to close before January 1, 2018 (so long as the purchase, as it turned out, was completed before April 1, 2018). And it doesn't apply to existing mortgages that are refinanced after



INVESTMENT INTEREST ALSO AFFECTED

The Tax Cuts and Jobs Act (TCJA) also affects investment interest. This is interest on debt borrowed to buy taxable investments (margin loans, for example). Like qualified residence interest, investment interest is an itemized deduction, which is lost if you no longer itemize.

Deductions of investment interest cannot exceed your net investment income, which generally includes interest income and ordinary dividend income, but not lower-taxed capital gains, qualified dividends or tax-free investment earnings. For many people, net investment income is now higher because the TCJA eliminated miscellaneous itemized deductions for such expenses.

December 15, 2017, provided the resulting debt doesn't exceed the refinanced debt.

The elimination of interest deductions for home equity indebtedness, however, applies to existing debt. So, if you were previously deducting interest on up to \$100,000 of home equity debt, that interest is no longer deductible. The same holds true for the \$100,000 home equity portion of \$1.1 million in mortgage debt. Note, however, that

interest on a home equity loan used to substantially improve a qualified residence is deductible as acquisition indebtedness (subject to applicable limits).

REVIEW YOUR EXPENSES

In light of the TCJA's changes, you may want to make changes such as paying off home equity loans because interest is no longer deductible. Contact us for help. ■

CAREFUL TAX PLANNING REQUIRED FOR INCENTIVE STOCK OPTIONS

Incentive stock options (ISOs) are a popular form of compensation for executives and other key employees. They allow you to buy company stock in the future at a fixed price equal to or greater than the stock's fair market value on the ISO grant date. If the stock appreciates, you can buy shares at a price below what they're then trading for. But careful tax planning is required because of the complex rules that apply.

TAX ADVANTAGES ABOUND

Although ISOs must comply with many rules, they receive tax-favored treatment. You owe no tax when ISOs are granted. You also owe no regular income tax when you exercise ISOs. There could be alternative minimum tax (AMT) consequences, but the AMT is less of a risk now because of the high AMT exemption under the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act.


There are regular income tax consequences when you sell the stock. If you sell after holding it at least one year from the exercise date and two years from the grant date, you pay tax on the sale at your long-term capital gains rate. You also may owe the 3.8% net investment income tax (NIIT).

If you sell the stock before long-term capital gains treatment applies, a "disqualifying disposition"

occurs and a portion of the gain is taxed as compensation at ordinary-income rates.

2019 IMPACT

If you were granted ISOs in 2019, there likely isn't any impact on your 2019 income tax return. But if in 2019 you *exercised* ISOs or you sold stock you'd acquired via exercising ISOs, then it could affect your 2019 tax liability. It's important to properly report the exercise or sale on your 2019 return to avoid potential interest and penalties for underpayment of tax.



PLANNING AHEAD

If you receive ISOs in 2020 or already hold ISOs that you haven't yet exercised, plan carefully when to exercise them. Waiting to exercise ISOs until just before the expiration date (when the stock value may be the highest, assuming the stock is appreciating) may make sense. But exercising ISOs earlier can be advantageous in some situations.

Once you've exercised ISOs, the question is whether to immediately sell the shares received or to hold on to them long enough to garner long-term capital gains treatment. The latter strategy often is beneficial from a tax perspective, but there's also market risk to consider.

For example, it may be better to sell the stock in a disqualifying disposition and pay the higher ordinary-income rate if it would avoid AMT on potentially disappearing appreciation.

The timing of the sale of stock acquired via an exercise could also positively or negatively affect your liability for higher ordinary-income tax rates, the top long-term capital gains rate and the NIIT.

NICE PERK

ISOs are a nice perk to have, but they come with complex rules. For help with both tax planning and filing, please contact us. ■

OWN A PASS-THROUGH ENTITY? BEWARE THE IDES OF MARCH


“Beware the Ides of March.” Shakespeare's words don't apply just to Julius Caesar; they also apply to calendar-year partnerships, S corporations and limited liability companies (LLCs) treated as partnerships or S corporations for tax purposes. Why? The Ides of March — March 15 — is the federal income tax filing deadline for these “pass-through” entities.

NOT-SO-ANCIENT HISTORY

Until the 2016 tax year, the filing deadline for partnerships was the same as that for individual taxpayers: April 15 (or shortly thereafter if April 15 fell on a weekend or holiday). But the due date was changed to allow business owners to use the information contained in the pass-through entity forms to file their personal returns. For partnerships with fiscal year ends, tax returns are now due the 15th day of the third month after the close of the tax year. The same deadline applies to fiscal-year S corporations.

AVOIDING A TRAGEDY

If you haven't yet filed your calendar-year partnership or S corporation return, you can avoid the tragedy of a late return by filing for an extension. Under the current law, the maximum extension for calendar-year partnerships is six months (until September 15, 2020, for 2019 returns). This is up from five months under the old law. So, the extension deadline is the same — only the length of the extension has changed. The extension deadline for calendar-year S corporations also is September 15, 2020, for 2019 returns. Whether you'll be filing a partnership or an S corporation return, you must file for the extension by March 15 if it's a calendar-year entity.



EXTENDING THE DRAMA

Filing for an extension can be tax-smart if you're missing critical documents or you face unexpected life events that prevent you from devoting enough time to your return right now.

But to avoid potential interest and penalties, you still must (with a few exceptions) pay any tax due by the unextended deadline. There probably won't be any tax liability from the partnership or S corporation return. But, if filing for an extension for the entity return causes you to also have to file an extension for your personal return, it could cause you to owe interest and penalties in relation to your personal return.

TO FILE OR TO EXTEND

We can help you file your tax returns on a timely basis or determine whether filing for an extension is appropriate. Contact us today. ■

ACCOUNTING FOR THE NEAR AND THE LONG TERM IN A FAMILY BUDGET

A wise person once said, “Simplicity is the key to a family budget.” (He or she may or may not have been an accountant.) However, it also needs to be comprehensive enough to cover all necessary items. To find the right balance, a budget should cover two distinct facets of family members’ lives: the near term and the long term.

In the near term, the budget should encompass the day-to-day items that affect every family. First, the home: This is often the most valuable possession in a personal budget. And a budget shouldn’t include only mortgage payments, but also expenses such as utilities, maintenance and supplies.

Naturally, there are other items related to daily life that need to be accounted for. These include groceries, fuel, clothing, child care, insurance and out-of-pocket medical expenses. And families need to draw clear distinctions between fixed and discretionary spending.



Along with being a practical guide to near-term family spending, the budget needs to address long-term goals. Of course, some goals are further out than others. For example, virtually everyone’s longest-term objective should be to have a comfortable retirement. So, a budget needs to incorporate plan contributions and other ways to meet this goal.

A relatively less long-term goal might be funding one or more college educations. So, again, the budget should reflect efforts to this effect. And, as a long-term but “as soon as possible” objective, the budget needs to be structured to pay off debts and maintain a strong credit rating. Our firm can help you craft a sensible budget that addresses your family’s distinctive needs. ■